

## Overarching Theme

## ESA

1. Conditions for planet formation and emergence of life?
1.3. Life and habitability in the Solar System, explore environmental conditions that make life possible

## NASA

Building New Worlds: Accretion, water, chemistry, internal differentiation of inner planets, evolution of atmospheres?
Planetary Habitats: Did Mars or Venus had environments conducive for life in the past? Evidence that life emerged?


## Why did Venus and Earth evolve differently?

| properties | Venus | Earth |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| radius [km] | 6050 | 6378 |
| mass [kg] | $4.87 \times 10^{24}$ | $5.97 \times 10^{24}$ |
| heliodistance [AU] | 0.73 | 1 |
| surface pressure [bar] | 92 | 1 |
| atmosphere comp [vol\%] | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(96.5), \mathrm{N}_{2}(3.5)$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}(78), \mathrm{O}_{2}(21), \mathrm{Ar}(1)$ |
| surface temp. $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | 462 | 14 |
| axial tilt [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 177 | 23 |

## Why did Venus and Earth evolve differently?



Why did Venus and Earth evolve differently?


Local

4.5 Ga
~100s Ma
Now

Why did Venus and Earth evolve differently?

Is the tectonic history of Venus comparable to that of Earth?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { What is the level of current } \\
& \text { volcanic activity of Venus? }
\end{aligned}
$$

Is the bulk chemical composition of Venus and Earth different?

## Tectonics; present knowledge

- Faults and rifts
- "Stagnant lid"-theory
- Subduction vs. obduction
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## Tectonics; observations

- Subsurface structure
- Gravity field
$\checkmark$ global Bouguer anomalies
$\checkmark$ resolution 80 km , accuracy $\sim 5 \mathrm{mG}$
$\checkmark$ orbital perturbations
- Magneto-telluric (MT) sounding
$\checkmark$ lithosphere thickness
$\checkmark \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ content
- Radiogenic isotopes
$\checkmark$ noble gases (3He, 4He, 40Ar, 35Ar, 38Ar)
- Surface mapping
- Topography
$\checkmark$ determined areas
$\checkmark$ spatial resolution $\sim 10 \mathrm{~m}$, accuracy $\sim 1 \mathrm{~m}$
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## Volcanism; present knowledge

- Basic cooling history of Venus and Earth
- Volcano-like features and basalts
- Age of the surface
- Variation in $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ abundance
- Surface heat flux
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## Volcanism; observations

-Abundance and ratios of sulfur and water

- Global coverage
$\checkmark$ UV 0.11-0.31 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, resolution 0.8 nm , resolving power 100
- In-situ
$\checkmark$ twice a day, continuous, $<70 \mathrm{~km}$, accuracy 1\%
- Locate and observe activity
- Irradiance of ground
$\checkmark$ IR: 0.7-5.0 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, resolution 0.8 nm , resolving power 200
$\checkmark$ spatial resolution 50 km , nighttime
- Elevation changes
$\checkmark$ spatial resolution $<40 \mathrm{~m}$,
$\checkmark$ accuracy inflation $<1 \mathrm{~cm}$, accuracy for eruptions $<1 \mathrm{~m}$
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## Composition; present knowledge

- Inference from meteorites
- Atmosphere from interior
- Noble gases (He, Ne, Ar, Xe) abundance and isotopic ratios with too large errors
- Proxy for volatiles
- Internal structure
- Iron as a proxy for refractory
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## Composition; observations

- Noble gases
- Fractionation of isotopes of noble gasses
$\checkmark$ origin and external changes
$\checkmark$ accuracy of abundance and ratios $\pm 3 \%$
$\checkmark$ minimum 1 measurement pr species
- Core size
- Orbital perturbations
$\checkmark$ Doppler tracking
$\checkmark$ moment of inertia
- Magnetic measurement
$\checkmark$ eventual possibility
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## Observables

1) Tectonic history

- Gravity field
- Lithospheric thickness and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ content
- Topography
- Radiogenic isotopes
2.1) Current volcanism
- Delta-topography
- Composition
- Thermal gradient
2.2) Bulk chemical composition
- Noble gas ratios
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## Observables $\longrightarrow$ Instruments

1) Tectonic history

- Gravity field
- Lithospheric thickness and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ content
- Topography

Magnetometer + dipoles

- Radiogenic isotopes InSAR
Mass spectrometer
2.1) Current volcanism
- Delta-topography

InSAR

- Composition
- Thermal gradient

UV + Mass spectrometer
IR spectrometer
2.2) Bulk chemical composition

- Noble gas ratios

Mass spectrometer

- Core size



## Gradiometer

- Geodesy for tectonics question
- measures gravity field (3-D gradient tensor) from medium to short-scales in order to reveal litospheric feature
- GOCE-type (TRL = 7)
- Science requirements:
- low orbit ( $\mathrm{h}=250-300 \mathrm{~km}$ )
- MBW: $5 \mathrm{MHz}-0.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (noise : $10 \mathrm{mE} \mathrm{Hz}^{-1 / 2}$ )
- drag needs to be compensated

- attitude accuracy (0.15 rad)


## Radar Altimeter

- Goal: support orbit measurements during geodesy phase
- Scientific requirements:
- Altitude Accuracy : 1 m
- Sample rate: 50 Hz
- Backscattering coefficient: 0.7 dB
- Beam width: 1.3 degrees
- Pulse repetition frequency: 1020 Hz



## SAR-InSAR

- Goals: tectonics, volcanism
- Scientific requirements:
- Local coverage ( $10 \%$ duty cycle)
- Single antenna (repeat pass)
- S band ( $\lambda \approx 12 \mathrm{~cm}$ )
- Look angle: $25-45^{\circ}$
- Swath Width $\approx 40-70 \mathrm{Km}$
- Spatial Resolution $\approx 40 \mathrm{~m}$
- Vertical accuracy $\approx \mathrm{cm}$


## IR/UV spectrometer

- Goals: volcanism through detection of S02 (cloud top) and freshly erupted lava flows (surface).
- Scientific requirements:
- Spectral range ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ): 0.11-0.31 and 0.7-5
- Spectral resolution: 0.8 nm and $0.5-1 \mathrm{~nm}$
- Spectral resolving power $\lambda / \Delta \lambda$ : ~100-200
- Field of view (rad) 64×64
- Spatial resolution: ~50 km


## Gas Chromatograph <br> Mass Spectrometer

- Goals: composition, volcanism to measure isotopic ratios and abundances
- Scientific requirements:
- Resolution: 0.1 AMU
- Range of measurement: 2-150 AMU
- Frequency of measurement: at least 1 measurement of every noble gass isotopic ratio
- Sensitivity: 0.1 ppb Xe, Kr
- Accuracy: Abundance and isotope ratios of $\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{Ne}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{Kr}$, $\mathrm{Xe}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ to $\pm 1 \%$

Based on: GCQMS with gas enrichement line from SAM experiment on Curiosity rover and GCMS on Huygens.


## MT sounding device

- Goals: tectonics through thickness of lithosphere and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ content
- Scientific requirements:
- Measurements must be done within ionosphere
- 1 - 100 Hz sampling
- Balloon attitude determination

Based on dipoles and space-
 qualified magnetometer

## Fluxgate magnetometer

- Used in MT sounding for tectonics and to experimentally address the bulk composition question:
- Core size estimate
- Scientific requirements:

- 50 pT accuracy
- Balloon attitude determination
- > 3m from any electrical device or metal (boom mounting)

| How do we improve on current data? Comparison of EvolVe and Magellan |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Magellan | EvolVe |
| Gravity measurements |  |  |
| Resolution: | 300-700 km | 80 km |
| High resolution topography | (SAR stereo) | (SAR stereo / InSAR) |
| Coverage: | 20\% | 10\% |
| Spatial resolution: | $1-2 \mathrm{~km}$ | 40 m TBC |
| Vertical precision: | 50 m | <4 m |
| Radar imaging |  |  |
| Coverage: | global (96\%) | 20 \% |
| Spatial resolution: | 100 m | 10 m TBC |

## How do we improve on current data?

## Gravity field improvement w.r.t Magellan (SNR)

spatial resolution:
Magellan: $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ km (resolution varies)

EvolVe: $\mathbf{8 0}$ km (global, homogeneous)

Improvement of long wavelengths expected (polar orbit, dynamic orbit analysis)




## Mission Architecture

1. Mission Elements
2. Orbit Design
3. Mission Phases
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ORBITER

Ishtar

Near circular polar orbit at $\mathbf{2 5 0} \mathbf{~ k m}$


LAUNCHER

## Ariane 5



## 1. Mission Elements



| Gas |
| :---: |
| Chromatograph |
| Mass |
| Spectrometer |



MT sounding device

> BALLOON
> Tammuz


## 2. Orbit Design

## Mission requirement :

Polar near-circular orbit @250 km above Venus


## 2. Orbit Design

Launcher ( $C 3=10.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ )

$$
\text { - Ariane } 5 \text { (4500 kg payload mass) }
$$

Options for orbit insertion @250 km

- Chemical propulsion
- DeltaV= $3.286 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$
- Total mass $=1472 \mathrm{~kg}$
- AeroBraking
- DeltaV= $1.510 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$
- Total mass = 2690 kg
- AeroCapture
- DeltaV= $0.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$
- Total mass = 3300 kg



## 2. Orbit Design

Aerobraking@130 km, 1-6 months:

1. Polar orbit insertion : 14-05-2033 apoapsis@17369 km , e=0.571
2. Preliminary SAR obs: 16.05.2033 apoapsis@6617 km , e=0.329
3. Balloon release : 23.06.2033
apoapsis@405 km , e=0.022
4. Final orbit: 24.06.2033
apoapsis@250 km , e=0.001
Force model:

- Atmospheric density model [Seiff A. et al., 1980] (test at higher altitudes)
(consistent with Magellan and Venus Express measurements)
- Venus gravity field up to degree and order 4
- Sun as third body (point mass)


## 2. Orbit Design

- Orbit maintenance (2.8 years science operation)
- DeltaV : $500 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
- Fuel : 230 kg
- ESTRACK
- 8 h/day
- 35 \% visibility / station

|  | DeltaV [m/s] |
| :--- | :--- |
| Orbit Insertion | 1490 |
| End of Aerobraking (Raise of Pericenter) | 30 |
| Orbit Maintenance | 500 |
| TOTAL | 2200 |

## 3. Main phases of the mission



Launch Arriving Balloon Balloon at Venus release EOL

Total mission duration : 3.2 years

End of the
mission
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Launch Arriving Balloon Balloon
at Venus release EOL

## 2.5 revolution of Venus
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$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Launch Arriving Balloon Balloon } & \text { End of the } \\ \text { at Venus release EOL } & \text { mission }\end{array}$
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Phase 3

## 3. Main phases of the mission

- Phase 1: Balloon
- Balloon relay
- IR/UV spectrometer
- Phase 2a : geodesy
- Gradiometer
- Altimeter
- IR/UV spectrometer
- Phase $2 b+3$ : topography
- InSAR (10\% of the time)
- IR/UV spectrometer

| Power <br> (W) | with <br> margin | Data rate <br> (kbps) | With <br> margin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 463 | 555 | 143 | 151 |
| 463 | 555 | 36 | 37 |
| 1211 | 1423 | 341 | 358 |



## Main technological challenges



## Antenna pointing during geodesy phase

- Conflicting requirements

Continuous
Measurement


Data Transmission

Doppler
Tracking

## - Possible solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {. consecutive observation and pointing sequences (e.g. 3:1 cycles) } \\
& \text {. pointing over the poles (dense ground-tracks) } \\
& \text {. stabilize orbit determination via altimeter cross-over analysis and } \\
& \text { gradiometer angular rates } \\
& \text {. Phased-Array Antenna with electronic pointing (TRL=3) }
\end{aligned}
$$



## Orbiter



## Orbiter

## Structure



- Rectangular shape, Aluminium
- Primary struts: 170 kg
- Secondary structure: 67 kg
- Mechanisms: 15 kg, 61 W
- Solar Array Drives
- HGA Drive
- Deployment Systems
- High design margins, detailed design required




## Orbiter

## Comms

$\square$
$\square$


- Required data rate from orbiter to Earth: 55.3 kbps (Phase 1), 1.85 Mbps (Phases 2a, 2b, 3)
- Antenna size on orbiter: $2.0 \mathrm{~m}, 30.1 \mathrm{~kg}$ (to 35 m receiver on Earth)
- Power: 230 W
- Frequency: $8.5 \mathrm{GHz}, \mathrm{X}$-band
- Maximum possible Data Rate E/N : 1.924 Mbps



## One of the main design drivers

## Heat sources

Sun (Solar flux ~2.6 kW/m²)
Venus (Albedo ~0.8, IR flux ~ $153 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ )
Internal Power (Total budget - emitted power ~1150 W)

## Control elements

20 layers MLI (golden Kapton)
1 „cold face" + radiator -> S_area = 4 m$^{2}$

## Target

Maintain S/C temperature ~297 K

| Area crows section | 4 | m2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Qinterral | 1150 | w |
| Cextersel x Ares | 224.82 | W |
| Qradout x Ares | 1376.58 | W |
| BALANCE $=$ Cext + Qint - Orad | 173 | W |

-> Operational requirements ok

## Orbiter

## OBDH

Von-Neumann
Architecture $\square$ Harvard

Parallel Bus
Ring Bus $\square$

- Commercial Off The Shelf (COTS)
- Includes:
- Data Storage
- Telemetry and Tele-command processing
- 10.5 kg
- 21 W


## Orbiter - Mass Budget

| Ishtar Orbiter |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| MASS BUDGET | Mass (Kg) |
| Payload | 460 |
| Structure | 170 |
| Propulsion | 130 |
| AOCS | 60 |
| Thermal control | 35 |
| Power + solar arrays | 35 |
| Comms | 30 |
| OBDH | 10 |
| Platform mass | $\mathbf{9 3 0}$ |
| Platform system margin | $20 \%$ |
| Total dry mass | $\mathbf{1 1 1 6}$ |
| Propellant | 2040 |
| Propellant margin | $20 \%$ |
| Total propellant | $\mathbf{2 4 4 8}$ |
|  |  |
| TOTAL MASS | $\mathbf{3 5 6 4}$ |

## Orbiter - Power Budget

| Ishtar Orbiter |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| POWER BUDGET | W |
| Payload | 818 |
| Structure | 47 |
| Propulsion | 70 |
| AOCS | 133 |
| Thermal control | - |
| Power + solar arrays | 28 |
| Comms | 230 |
| OBDH | 20 |
| Required Power | 1346 |
| System margin | $10 \%$ |
|  |  |
| TOTAL POWER | 1480 |

## Orbiter Architecture

## Orbiter Architecture




## Balloon

Balloon trade off tree


Cormms
ks-9xnt
sAand
$x$-fine In



- Superpressure light gas
- Approx. 7 m diameter
- Gas generated at deployment



## Balloon



## Balloon

## Comms

S-Band
$\square$
$\square$

- Required data rate from balloon to orbiter: 22.5 kbps
- Antenna size on balloon: $0.1 \mathrm{~m}, 0.8 \mathrm{~kg}$ (identical on orbiter)
- Power: 10 W
- Frequency: 0.45 GHz UHF
- Maximum possible Data Rate E/N : 35.6 kbps


## Balloon

## Other Systems

- Entry and Descent System (EDS)
- Released from orbiter during aerobraking phase
- Retro rockets
- Heat shield
- Structural
- Attitude System
- Sun Sensor
- Thermal System
- Passive
- OBDH


## Balloon - Mass Budget

| Tammuz Balloon |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| MASS BUDGET | Mass $(\mathbf{K g})$ |
| Payload | 28 |
| Structure | 20 |
| Thermal control | 1 |
| Power + solar arrays | 24.5 |
| Comms | 0.8 |
| OBDH | 4 |
| Entry probe | 127 |
| Gas storage | 50 |
| Balloon | 21 |
| Gas | 16 |
| Balloon mass | $\mathbf{2 9 2 . 3}$ |
| Platform system margin | $20 \%$ |
| Total dry mass | $\mathbf{3 5 0 . 7 6}$ |
|  |  |
| TOTAL MASS | $\mathbf{3 5 1}$ |

## Balloon - Power Budget

| Tammuz Balloon |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| POWER BUDGET | (W) |
| Payload | 46 |
| Structure | 0 |
| Thermal control | - |
| Power + solar arrays | 5 |
| Comms | 10 |
| OBDH | 5 |
| Balloon power requirements | $\mathbf{6 6}$ |
| Platform system margin | $10 \%$ |
|  |  |
| TOTAL POWER | $\mathbf{7 3}$ |



## Enabling technologies

- Balloon system
- Entry probe
- Drag reduction aerodynamic design
$\rightarrow$ Increased development time


## Mission Development Plan



## Risk Analysis

| Severity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 5 | B, M | N | A |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | E, I | G | H |  |  |
| 3 | K | C | F | L |  |  |
| 2 | J | D |  | O |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |

- Main Risks:
- A: Drag in Orbit too high for Measurement
- Mitigate: design margins
- H: Insufficient Orbit Determination
- Mitigate: development time




## Downscaling

- Minimum Working Example: Gradiometer w/ Altimetry

| All values in [kg] | SMAD Remote <br> Sensing | SMAD Average All |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Payload | 143 | 143 |
| Dry Mass | 388 | 529 |
| Total Launch Mass | 1253 | $\mathbf{1 7 1 0}$ |
|  |  |  |
| Soyuz to Venus | 1650 | 1650 |
| Ariane V to Venus | 4500 | 4500 |

## Recommendations (1)

- Refine subsystems
- More powerful injection engine
- Investigate Ka-band design change
- Detailed structural design
- Detailed balloon design
- Refine operational concept, duty cycles
- Additional downsizing options


## Recommendations (2)

- Potential for Co-operations
- Different launcher (e.g. Delta)
- Instrument development (national space agencies)
- Ground station network, tracking (e.g., NASA DSN)
- Synergy with future missions
- Separate development of balloon (e.g. CNES)
- Outreach
- Education program for students
- Flyers, exhibitions


## Conclusion

- Science Theme: Difference Venus - Earth
- Primary Objective: Investigate Tectonics
- Secondary objectives: Volcanism, composition
- Payloads: Gradiometer, InSAR, Altimeter, IR/UV Spectrometer, Mass Spectrometer, MT Sounding
- Orbiter, Balloon
- Total Launch Mass: 3915 kg (Dry Mass: 1467 kg)
- Ariane V Launch
- Mission Duration: 3.2 yrs



## EvolVe For Kids



## Observables

1) Tectonics

- Gravity field
- Topography
- Crustal/lithospheric structure
- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ content

2) Volcanism

- Delta-topo
- Composition
- Thermal gradient

3) Accretion

- Core size
- Composition, noble gas quantities


## Observables - Tectonics (1) Requirements

- Gravity field
- Minimal drag/drag compensation
- Very accurate attitude determination
- Resolution of 80 km
- Topography
- Deduce subsurface structures from combination of gravity field and topography
- Lithospheric structure
- Constrain thickness of lithosphere to within 10 s of km


## Observables - Tectonics (1) <br> Methods

- Gravity field:
- ???
- Topography:
- SAR-InSAR from orbiter
- Lithospheric structure:
- In situ MT sounding using natural EM signals


## MT Sounding: Theory

- Magneto-telluric sounding
- Past implementations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(k m) \\
= & 0.36 \sqrt{\rho / f}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Used extensively in ground, marine and aerial subsurface explorations on Earth
- Method has been implemented on magnetic surveying of the moon to gain information on core size
- Same method used measurement inversion to find possible subsurface oceans on Europa and Callisto
- On Venus:
- Ideal at height of 55 km
- Use Schumann resonances from lightning as natural sounding signal


## Observables - Volcanism (2) Requirements

- Variability in isotopic composition of noble gases from He to Xe :
- Mass resolution: 0.1 AMU
- Range of measurement: 1-150 AMU
- Frequency of measurement: at least 1 measurement of every noble gas isotopic ratio
- Sensitivity: 0.1 ppb
- Accuracy: Abundance and isotope ratios of $\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{Ne}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{Kr}, \mathrm{Xe}$ to $\pm 3 \%$.
- Change in topography:
- Vertical resolution 5 mm , horizontal resolution 1 km
- Global variability of volcanic gas $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$
- Sensitivity 50 ppbv at the top of clouds
- 1 month duration and global coverage
- Measurement at least twice a day during probe life-time
- Range of measurement 1-150 AMU
- 0.1\% precision
- Thermal flux:
- Detect relative thermal flux $0.1 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
- Spatial resolution: <50 km/px
- Targeted area of observation size: 1000 km
- Observation of a targeted area should be repeated in 2-6 days.


## Observables - Volcanism (2) Methods

- Variability in isotopic composition of noble gases:
- Gas chromatograph mass spectrometer for in situ measurements of the atmosphere
- Change in topography:
- SAR-InSAR for variability over time
- Detect recently deposited volcanic lava flows
- Requires re-measurement of area of interest over time
- Global variability of volcanic gas $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$
- Gas chromatograph mass spectrometer for long duration measurement in situ variations in isotopic composition of volcanic gases: ${ }^{34} \mathrm{~S} /{ }^{32} \mathrm{~S}$ and ${ }^{33} \mathrm{~S} /{ }^{32} \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{HDO}$
- Thermal flux:
- IR/UV spectrometer to measure thermal flux of surface


## Observables - Accretion (3) Requirements

- Core size:
- Gradiometer ?
- Composition


## Observables - Accretion (3) Methods

- Core size
- In situ MT sounding in combination with orbitermeasured magnetic field values
- Composition
- Gas chromatograph mass spectrometer to measure surface composition


## Thermal calculations

| Input Parameters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Epsilon (radiator emittance) | 0.78 | sivered teflon |
| Alfa (radiator absorptance) | 0.05 | silvered teflon |
| Radiator Temperature | 297 | K |
| Distance to Sun | 0.72 | $A \cup$ |
| Albedo | 0.8 |  |
| IR flux | 153 | W/m2 |
| Fuun | 0 |  |
| Falbedo | 0.25 |  |
| Finfrared | 0.25 |  |
| Total Electric Power budget | 1500 | W |
| Antenna Emitted power | 350 | W |
| Area cross section | 4 | m2 |
| Qinternal | 1150 | W |
| Qexternal x Area | 224.82 | W |
| Qradout $\times$ Area | 1376.58 | W |
| BALANCE - Qext + Qint - Qrad | -1.76 | W |

## What do we know: noble gases

| Noble gas isotope ratio | Previons matasuremeat | mates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | ** | ${ }^{3}$ He predicied at low ppb level methome or $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ could gne $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$ intetforecese wh HD |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Ne}{ }^{1 / \mathrm{Ne}}$ | $11.8 \pm 07$ | Potental interferenes from "Ar" at 20 De anit $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-1}$ at 22 De |
| ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ne}{ }^{\text {M }} \mathrm{Ne}$ | - |  |
| "At ${ }^{\text {/ }} \mathrm{Ar}$ | $5.56 \pm 0.62$ | PV Probe Dunalac amly is |
|  | $5.08 \pm 0.05$ | Vemen 11/12 MES |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{ALP}^{34} \mathrm{Ar}$ | $1.03 \pm 0.04$ | PV Probe Donuthe minkis |
|  | $1.19 \pm 0.07$ | Venera 11/2Ms |
| Ki botopes | - |  |
| Xe notopers | - |  |

Target
accuracy
<5-10\%

## What do we know: noble gases



Xe isotopes, ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Ar} /{ }^{38} \mathrm{Ar}$

- Cometary origin of volatiles
- Atmospheric blowoff
- Comparision between number of large impactors on Venus and Earth

[^0]
## Is tectonic history of Venus comparable to Earth's?

. Why it is important and how it relates to the theme?

```
Plate tectonics could be essential for life
```

- Support generation of magnetic fields by effectively cooling the deep interior that serves as shield for radiation and solar wind erosion
- Recycling carbon is needed to stabilize temperature (on Earth)

It is likely to have water if there is plate tectonics

- Near surface rock must be weakened, lowers melting point [ Planetary Interior Evolution and Life, EGU2012, T. Spohn 2012]


## Is tectonic history of Venus comparable to Earth's?

What do we know about the issue raised by the question?

1 .Topographic evidence that point to tectonics and surface movement at Venus (Radar images and Altimetry from Magellan)
2. Magellan topography \& gravity seems to confirm "stagnant lid" theory that is different to Earths plate tectonics. [Solomatov and Moresi, 1996]

## Is tectonic history of Venus comparable to Earth's?

What do we know about the issue raised by the question?



## Is tectonic history of Venus comparable to Earth's?

What do we know about the issue raised by the question?


Thursdyy, suly 24, 2014 Constant kid / Alvssb surplatel tectonics

## Is tectonic history of Venus comparable to Earth's?

How good do we know the gravity field ?
Models based on Magellan and PVO Doppler data:

Konopliv (1996): $\mathrm{n}=120 \mathrm{p}$

Konopliv (1998): $\mathrm{n}=180 \mathrm{u}$

Barriot (1998):

$$
n=180
$$

Equator: nmax= 180
Poles: nmax $=40$


Mostly error supersedes signal approx. at $\mathrm{n}=60$ ( $\sim 320 \mathrm{~km}$ )
$\rightarrow$ Cannot $r$ \&apkeat crrnestehofeatures at few 10s of kilometerss

## Is tectonic history of Venus comparable to Earth's?

How good do we know the gravity field ?
Magellan results: poor knowledge



[^1]
## Is tectonic history of Venus comparable to Earth's?

What do we expect to measure on Venus and what will that mean?

- measure mainly deformation distributions across tens to a few hundred kilometers at possible plate boundaries, along rift systems of some thousand kilometer lengths
- Venus tectonics could be significantly different to Earth's, which shows rather narrow plate boundaries (few 10 km 's).
- expect to retrieve small crustal thickness at rifts, that point to upwelling mantle material. This would tell us that Venus has or recently had tectonic activity.


## Is tectonic history of Venus comparable to Earth's? <br> How do we measure?



Rummel, 2014

## Gradiometer

Gravity field : EvolVe orbit height vs. resolution


## Is tectonic history of Venus comparable to Earth's?

What instruments - GRAVITY

Option 1: Gravity Gradiometer (GOCE type) (Orbiter, TRL=9)
Option 2: Cold Atom Gradiometer (Orbiter, TRL=3)


## Is tectonic history of Venus comparable to Earth's?

## Cold Atom Gradiometer

Idea : Cold Atom interferometers instead of accelerometers

Concept: - movement of a cloud of atoms $\left(10^{6}\right)$ is observed

- Interferometry: Raman laser, vacuum chamber
- Cooling of cloud via laser $\rightarrow$ recoil velocity

Advantages: - white noise over the entire spectrum ( $3 \mathrm{mE} \mathrm{Hz}^{-1 / 2}$ )
$\rightarrow$ supercedes both: SST-hl and gradiometry accuracy


## Is tectonic history of Venus comparable to Earth's?

What instruments - GRAVITY long wavelengths
Option 1: X/Ka-Band Radar Antenna
STATION-TO-SPACECRAFT-TO-STATION Doppler Tracking
Frequency: 8.43 / ~32 GHz
Accuracies: $\mathbf{2 0 - 3 0} \mathrm{cm}$ range
$3 e-4 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ range rate (1000-10000s integ. Time)
[from BepiColombo, based on 1.5m HGA]

## Is tectonic history of Venus comparable to Earth's?

## What instruments - CRUSTAL/LITHOSPHERIC THICKNESS

Option 1: aerial EM sounding (Ballon, TRL=5)

- Use a balloon at 55 km
- On a "dry" Venus should give information on resistivity of the ground at 50km and deeper (taken from models of Grimm 2011)
- On a "wet" Venus would have information on structures at < 20km depths
- Greater distances covered (> 104 km ) allow for greater reduction of ionospheric effects on the modelled subsurface


## Is the tectonic history of Venus comparable to Earth's?

- Method: aerial EM sounding
- Give information on thickness of crust and lithosphere as well as thermal gradient
- EM sounding has been used extensively in ground exploration on Earth, has been done using satellite-based magnetic measurements of Europa and Callisto (Khurana 1998)
- Use naturally-occurring magnetic perturbations (solar wind-ionosphere interactions: $<1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Schumann resonances from lightning: > 10 Hz )


## Is the tectonic history of Venus comparable to Earth's?

- Method: aerial EM sounding
- Use a balloon at 55 km
- On a "dry" Venus should give information on resistivity of the ground at 50km and deeper (taken from models of Grimm 2011)
- On a "wet" Venus would have information on structures at < 20km depths
- Greater distances covered (> $10^{4} \mathrm{~km}$ ) allow for greater reduction of ionospheric effects on the modelled subsurface structures


## WHY: Volcanic activity is a surface indicator of interior activity

## What do we know?

- V\&E Similar size (basic cooling history)
- But Callisto and Ganymed are simillar size and different properties.
- Geochemical composition (radioisotopes) (Surkov 1997)
- Age of basalts?
- Young surface age <800 Ma (Romeo and Turcotte 2009)
- High rates of errosion?


Figure 3 | More than thirty years of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ measurements at Venus's cloud top. Black stands for previously puoblished measurements ${ }^{26}$. Red stands to the 8 -month maving average of the retrievals also shown in Fig. 1 Solid red error bars represent lo randoon uncertainty, and dotted red error bars represent measurement dispersionin each temporal bin.

- Morphological volcanoes present
- Morphology is deceptive
- Variation in $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ abundance (Esposito, 1984, Marcq et al. 2013)
- Can also be caused by long term variation in the circulation mesosphere (Clancy and Muhleman 1991). mission


Venus atmospheric models from Pioneer
(Atmospheres of Earth, Mars and Venus as defined by entry probe experiments, Seiff, 1991)
:asibility of the gravity mapping phase of $t$ mission


Venus atmospheric densities from the VEX drag experiments (VExADE)


Figure 25: Attitude and average thrust during the low orbit operations campaign (image credit: ESA)


## Gas Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer

Power: 41W
Weight: ~17.5 kg
Data rate: 900 bits/s

Based on: GCQMS with gas enrichement line from SAM experiment on Curiosity rover.

(no option for solid sample processing) and GCMS on Huygens

## What do we know about Ne isotopes on Venus Earth and Mars?

- Noble gas ratios in the upper mantle are similar to those in the modern atmosphere (Ozima and Igarashi 2000): they experienced the same fractionation before Earth was formed (Rollinson 2007:
- There is a profound difference in concentration of noble gases measured by Venera 11-12 and Pioneer Venus e.g., 84 Kr from Venera is 0.4 ppm and 0.025 ppm based on Pioneer Venus (Atreya et al. 1989).
- $20 \mathrm{Ne} / 22 \mathrm{Ne}$
- $14.3 \pm 4.1$ (from Pioneer Venus Hoffman et al. 1980)
- $-11.8+0.7$ (later compilation by Donahue 1986)
- Large error:
- (Potential interference from 40Ar++at 20 Da and $\mathrm{CO} 2++$ at 22 Da )


## What do we expect on Venus and what would it mean?

- Neon isotopes:
- If the ( $22 \mathrm{Ne} / 20 \mathrm{Ne}, 21 \mathrm{Ne} / 20 \mathrm{Ne}$ ) ratios for Venus and Earth fall on the mass fractionation line predicted by escape processes, it would imply the two planets began as neon twins, sharing the same source of noble gases (and perhaps other volatiles).
- If the observed ratios don't both fall on the fractionation/escape line, then the two planets likely accreted their neons from disparate sources, thus indicating that a variety of formation processes and realms in the parent nebulae helped to create the inner planets.


## Current knowledge

The "state of the art" in topographic information and gravity maps is data from the MAGELLAN mission


Magellan results
Global SAR coverage: $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$
Global altimetry: $\quad 10-20 \mathrm{~km}$ horizontal resolution ( 100 m vertical)


Gravity maps:
$300-700 \mathrm{~km}$

- Single Antenna
- Antenna Size: $2.4 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.6 \mathrm{~m}$
- Altitude: 250 Km
- Swath Width $\approx 40-70 \mathrm{Km}$
- Spatial Resolution <10 m
- Vertical accuracy $\approx \mathrm{cm}$
- Radar Altimeter data rate 2.74 GB /Cycle
- InSAR data rate $6.8 \mathrm{~Gb} /$ day


## Radar Altimeter- InSAR INSAR

Goals: Topography, Volcanism detection
Idea: Combine Radar Altimeter and InSAR
Measurements
Radar Altimeter works in a continuous mode
InSAR provide a local coverage (10\% surface)
$S$ band ( $\lambda \approx 12 \mathrm{~cm}$ )
Weight: 120 Kg
Power Consumption 800W

## Phased-Array Antenna

- Electrically steered beam (multiple elements transmit with shifted phase -> constructive/destructive interference)
- 1st 1D high-gain phased array antenna for deep space used in MESSENGER.
- EvolVe requires a 2D antenna -> Difficult to analyze and calibrate.
- New developments are being evaluated
- Virtual 2D antennas (multiple 1D arrays simultaneously operated)


## Orbit design



Optimistic launch window


07/12/2032
Alternative
launch window


## Orbit design



Density ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} 3$ ) as a function of altitude ( km ) in Venus atmosphere. Left : the Seiff model, based on the VIRA reference model between 0-100km and extends it up to 200 km of altitude. Right: atmospheric density profiles for several planets with indication of aero-braking altitude.

## Orbit design

## Aero-braking altitude ( $\approx 10^{-7} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ density)

- Seiff model : 130 km
- Exp. density model : 320 km




## Orbit design

Air-drag acceleration on the satellite/spacecraft

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a}=-0.5 \rho\left(\mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{m}\right) \mathrm{V}^{2} & -\rho: \text { atmospheric density } \\
-\mathrm{C}: \text { drag coefficient } \approx 2.2
\end{array}
$$

- A : spacecraft cross-sectional area
$\Delta \mathrm{v}$ per revolution by air-drag - m : spacecraft mass
- V: spacecraft velocity

$$
\Delta \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{rev}}=-\pi \rho\left(\mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{m}\right) \mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~V}
$$

- a:acceleration

Orbit maintenance
DeltaV : $0.1769 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$
Fuel: $210 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{year}$

## Orbit design

AOS (acquisition of signal) time
(New Norcia DSA to EvolVe, 1 Venus day)

Mean 75 mins
MAX 800 mins

Occultations by : Venus, Sun, Earth rotation



## Communications



## Thermal calculations

| Input Parameters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Epsilon (radiator emittance) | 0.78 | sivered teflon |
| Alfa (radiator absorptance) | 0.05 | silvered teflon |
| Radiator Temperature | 297 | K |
| Distance to Sun | 0.72 | $A \cup$ |
| Albedo | 0.8 |  |
| IR flux | 153 | W/m2 |
| Fuun | 0 |  |
| Falbedo | 0.25 |  |
| Finfrared | 0.25 |  |
| Total Electric Power budget | 1500 | W |
| Antenna Emitted power | 350 | W |
| Area cross section | 4 | m2 |
| Qinternal | 1150 | W |
| Qexternal x Area | 224.82 | W |
| Qradout $\times$ Area | 1376.58 | W |
| BALANCE - Qext + Qint - Qrad | -1.76 | W |

## Cold face Orientation

- Always outwards the Sun
- Two singularities per year -> spacecraft turns around ist Z-axis
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## Configuration during a venus year

## Venus atmosphere conditions

| Venus Environmental conditions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balloon altitude | 53 | 55 | 63 | Tolerance | Units |
| Temperature (K) | 323.2 | 302.3 | 254.5 | plus/minus 4 | K |
| Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 50.05 | 29.15 | -18.65 | plus/minus 4 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Atmosphere pressure | 0.7109 | 0.5314 | 0.1659 | $\begin{gathered} \text { plus/minus } \\ 15 \% \end{gathered}$ | bar |
| Zonal speed wind (mean) | 60 | 60 | 91 | plus/minus 40 | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Balloon planetary rotation rate | 7.4 | 7.4 | 4.89 | n/a | days |
| Solar drownwelling flux (0.4-1 micron) | 638 |  |  | n/a | W/m2 |
| Solar drownwelling flux (0.4-1.8 micron) | 730 |  |  | n/a | W/m2 |
| Total upwelling flux | 25 |  |  | n/a | W/m2 |
| Cloud layer | Lower-middle cloud |  |  | n/a | n/a |
| Cloud composition | 75\% H2SO4 +25\% H2O |  |  | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| EM radiation | 300 |  |  | n/a | $\mathrm{microV} / \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sqrt}(\mathrm{Hz})$ |

## Overview Risk Analysis

| Drag in orbit too high for measurements | A |
| :--- | :--- |
| LV failure | B |
| LV injection error | C |
| Solar Panel damage | E |
| Trajectory failure | F |
| HGA pointing error | G |
| Loss of Balloon (Reentry, Venus environment) | H |
| Insufficient Orbit Determination | I |
| Balloon Deployment Failure | J |
| Ariane V decommissioned | K |
| Solar Array pointing error | L |
| Solar Particle Event | M |
| Failure to deploy appendices | N |
| Pointing accuracy insufficient for gradiometer | O |
| Reduced data transmission rate |  |

## Cost Analysis

| TYPICAL BREAKDOWN OF THE OVERALL COST |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Launcher | ~15\% | Ariane 5 : ~ $165 \mathrm{M} €$, Soyuz from Kourou : ~ $75 \mathrm{M} €$, VEGA $\sim 55 \mathrm{M} €$ |
| Ground segment \& |  | increases with spacecraft distance from the Earth and the |
| Operations (MOC\&SOC) | 10-15\% | mission duration |
| Management \& Facilities | ~10\% |  |
|  | 60 to to $65 \%$ |  |
| Spacecraft Development |  | what is left ! |
| Contingency | 20-25\% | (sum (2-4)*M (increase marging with risk) |

## Downsizing - Medium A

- Gradiometer w/ Altimetry, Balloon

| All values in [kg] | SMAD Remote <br> Sensing | SMAD Average All |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Payload | 386 | 386 |
| Dry Mass | 1047 | 1429 |
| Total Launch Mass | 3382 | 4616 |
|  |  |  |
| Soyuz to Venus | 1650 | 1650 |
| Ariane V to Venus | 4500 | 4500 |

## Downsizing - Medium B

- Gradiometer w/ Altimetry and SAR

| All values in [kg] | SMAD Remote <br> Sensing | SMAD Average All |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Payload | 263 | 263 |
| Dry Mass | 713 | 974 |
| Total Launch Mass | 2304 | 3145 |
|  |  |  |
| Soyuz to Venus | 1650 | 1650 |
| Ariane V to Venus | 4500 | 4500 |


[^0]:    Resolution: 0.1 AMU
    Frequency of measurement: at least 1 measurement of every noble gas isotopic ratio
    Range of measurement: 1-150 AMU
    Sensitivity: 0.1 ppb $\mathrm{Xe}, \mathrm{Kr}$
    Accuracy: Abundance and isotope ratios of $\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{Ne}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{Kr}, \mathrm{Xe}$ to $\pm 3 \%$.
    Temporal resolution: onece
    

[^1]:    

